This record is a partial extract of the original cable. The full text of the original cable is not available.

S E C R E T SECTION 01 OF 02 NEW DELHI 000586

E.O. 12958: DECL: 01/23/2015 TAGS: PREL MOPS IN PK INDO PAK

Classified By: Ambassador David C. Mulford. Reasons 1.4 (B, D)

- $\underline{\mathbf{1}}$ 1. (U) This is an action request for SA. Please see paragraph 7.
- 12. (S) The LOC ceasefire is one of the most important achievements in the slow but steady Indo-Pak rapproachement process that began in mic
- 13. (S) Thus far, we see no indication that these two incidents were anything more than isolated cases. Senior GOI officials have played the
- 14. (C) These ceasefire breaches coincide with renewed speculation about a resumption of cross border terrorist infiltration. In a front pa
- 15. (S) Speaking January 24 with Polcouns, MEA Joint Street AK Singh (Pakistan, Afghanistan, Iran) indicated SIPDIS that he was not yet ready to blame Islamabad for the resumption of shelling. However, he argued that at the very least the Pakista Some Indian advocates of this line of thinking argue that the insurgents and hardline elements of the Pakistani armed forces want the ceasefire to
- 16. (S) Regardless of who is responsible, there should be no ambiguity regarding the view of the United States regarding ceasefire breaches as further evidence that Islamabad is in the process of loosening the reins on terrorist violence.
- 17. (S) Action recommendation: We urge the Department to issue a firm statement:
  -- supporting the ceasefire;
  -- reiterating our unequivocal opposition to cross border terrorist infiltration;
  -- condemning the recent shelling, and;
  -- reaffirming our support for the Composite Dialogue process.

MULFORD